ENT-PIMARADIENE DITERPENES FROM GOCHNATIA GLUTINOSA

E. E. GARCÍA, E. GUERREIRO and P. JOSEPH-NATHAN*

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Bioquímica y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Chacabuco y Pedernera, 5700 San Luis, Argentina; *Departamento de Química, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000 Mexico

(Received 18 March 1985)

Key Word Index—Gochnatia glutinosa; Compositae; aerial parts; diterpenes; ent-pimaradiene; structural determination.

Abstract—Two diterpenes were isolated from the aerial parts of Gochnatia glutinosa. Their structures were established as ent-8(14),15-pimaradiene-3 β ,18-diol by comparison of spectroscopic data with those of suitable model compounds.

INTRODUCTION

In an investigation of the natural products of plants endemic to the Argentinian region of Cuyo, we have examined Gochnatia glutinosa Don. The previous chemical work on five species of the large South American genus Gochnatia [1-4] revealed the presence of triterpenes (four species), sesquiterpene lactones (three species) and diterpenes (one species). The present investigation led to the isolation and identification of two ent-pimaradiene diterpenes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Systematic fractionation of a methanolic extract of the aerial parts of G. glutinosa led to the isolation of two crystalline diterpenes (1a and 2a). The molecular formula of 2a was determined as C₂₀H₃₂O₂ by mass spectrometry. Its IR spectrum showed primary and secondary hydroxyl groups (3400-3300, 1080, 1045 cm⁻¹), and mono and trisubstituted double bonds (995 and 915 cm⁻¹ and 845 cm⁻¹, respectively). Its ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of three methyl groups (δ 0.78, 0.90 and 0.98, $3H \times 3$ each), a proton geminal to an equatorial secondary hydroxyl group ($\delta 3.58$, m, 1H, $W_{1/2} = 18$ Hz), an AB system (δ 3.36, 3.64, 2H, dd, J = 11 Hz) characteristic of an equatorial C-4 hydroxymethyl and four olefinic protons. Three of the olefinic peaks attributable to a monosubstituted olefin [5], constituted an ABX system (δ_A 4.90, δ_B 4.95, $\delta_{\rm X}$ 5.73, $J_{\rm AB}=2$, $J_{\rm AX}=10$, $J_{\rm BX}=18$ Hz) while one was isolated (δ 5.15) and weakly coupled. The olefinic proton region was very similar to those generally found in the 8(14),15-pimaradiene [6] skeleton. The mass spectrum contained ions at m/z 304 [M]⁺, 286 [M - H₂O]⁺, 273 $[M-CH_2OH]^+$, 271 $[M-Me-H_2O]^+$, 268 [M $[2H_2O]^+$, 255 $[M-H_2O-CH_2OH]^+$

Treatment of 2a with acetic anhydride-pyridine gave the diacetate 2b, mp $68-69^{\circ}$, the ¹H NMR spectrum of which showed two acetoxy methyl signals at $\delta 2.08$, 2.00 while the methylene and methine signals were shifted to $\delta 3.75$ (s) and 4.76 (m). The above data were identical to those of 8(14),15-pimaradien-3 β ,18-diol [7], mp 180°,

 $[\alpha]_D = 106^\circ$. However, the optical rotations, although of similar magnitude, were of opposite sign, -92.1° and -102° for **2a** and **2b**, respectively. On the basis of these observations, **2a** was identified as *ent*-8(14),15-pimaradiene-3 β ,18-diol.

The other diterpene, 1a, $C_{20}H_{32}O_2$, gave rise to IR and mass spectra which closely resembled those of the 2a, indicating that 1a and 2a were isomers. Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra data of 1a with those of 2a showed differences of the chemical shifts for the methyl (δ 0.66, 0.96 and 1.23, 3H × 3 each) and hydroxymethyl (δ 3.43 and

R = Ac

20 R = H 26 R = Ac 3060 Short Reports

Table 1. ¹³C NMR spectral data for diterpenes 1a and 2a (25 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard)

c	2a	1a	
1	37.9 t	36.9 t	
2	26.9 t	28.0 t	
3	76.8 d	80.9 d	
4	42.1 s	42.7 s	
5	48.4 d	51.1 d	
6	22.3 t	22.1 t	
7	35.2 t	35.6 t	
8	137.2 s	137.2 <i>s</i>	
9	51.0 d	55.1 d	
10	36.7 s	37.8 s	
11	19.1 t	19.3 t	
12	35.7 t	35.8 t	
13	38.5 s	38.6 s	
14	128.1 d	128.3 d	
15	147.0 d	147.0 d	
16	112.7 t	112.7 t	
17	29.4 q	29.4 q	
18	71.7 t	22.7 q	
19	11.5 q	64.3 t	
20	15.2 q	15.8 q	

4.30, 2H, dd, J = 11 Hz) groups and the proton geminal to a hydroxyl group (δ 3.45, 1H, m, $W_{1/2} = 18$ Hz).

The above data could be interpreted on the basis of a reversed configuration at C-4 [7]. The negative rotation of its diacetate $1b \left[\alpha\right]_D = -76^\circ$, mp $68.5-69.5^\circ$, established the structure of 1a as ent-8(14),15-pimaradiene-3 β ,19-diol. The 13 C NMR spectroscopic data of 1a and 2a were fully compatible with these assignments when the chemical shifts were compared with those of suitable model compounds [8-10].

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps: uncorr; ¹H NMR: 90 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as internal standard; ¹³C NMR: 25 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as standard; MS: 70 eV, direct inlet; CC: silica gel; TLC: silica gel UV-254, solvent systems C₆H₆-dioxane-HOAc (45:5:1 and 90:25:4).

Plant material. Gochnatia glutinosa was collected in Canota (Mendoza, Argentina) and identified by José A. Ambrosetti (Voucher MERL 35083, IADIZA, Mendoza).

Extraction and isolation. The aerial parts (1400 g) were airdried, finely ground and extracted at room temp. with MeOH (\times 3, 24 hr). The crude extract obtained by evaporation at reduced pressure was dissolved in MeOH, which was treated with H₂O (10, 20 and 30%) then partitioned between *n*-hexane, CCl₄ and CHCl₃, respectively. The CHCl₃ extract (250 g) was adsorbed on silica gel (500 g) and after drying placed on the top of a column of silica gel packed in C₆H₆ and eluted with C₆H₆-EtOAc mixtures, to yield the following compounds in order of elution: 1a (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 9:1) 0.650 g and 2a (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 8:2) 0.470 g.

Ent-8(14),15-pimaradiene-3 β ,19-diol (1a). Colourless crystals from MeOH, mp 185.5–186.5°; [α]_D = -97.3° (CHCl₃; c 1.3); IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 3400–3300, 1080, 1045, 995, 915 and 850; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.66, 0.96 and 1.23 (3H \times 3 each, H-17, H-18 and H-20), 2.25 (1H, m, H-9), 3.43 and 4.30 (2H, AB, J = 11 Hz,

H-19), 3.45 (1H, m, $W_{1/2} = 12$ Hz, H-3), 4.71–5.95 (4H, typical 8(14),15-pimaradiene double bond patterns [6]); MS m/z (rel. int.): 304, 2388 (calc. for $C_{20}H_{32}O_2$: 304. 2402) [M] $^+$ (11), 289 [M -15] $^+$ (2), 286 [M -18] $^+$ (22), 273 [M -31] $^+$ (6), 271 [M -18 -15] $^+$ (7), 268 [M -18 - 18] $^+$ (5), 255 [M -18 - 31] $^+$ (23), 169 (8), 151 (21), 148 (31), 135 (33), 133 (56), 121 (100), 119 (45), 109 (48), 107 (61).

Ent-8(14),15-pimaradiene-3,19-diacetate (1b). Colourless crystals from C_6H_6 -EtOAc (9:1), mp 68.5-69.5°; $[\alpha]_D = -76^\circ$ (CHCl₃; c 1.2); IR v_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1745, 1630, 1245, 1040, 995, 920, 860; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.73, 0.96 and 1.00 (3H × 3 each, H-17, H-18 and H-20), 2.03 (6H, s), 2.20 (1H, m, H-9), 4.13 and 4.39 (2H, AB, J = 11 Hz, H-19), 4.53 (1H, m, $W_{1/2} = 12$ Hz, H-3), 4.65-5.95 (4H, typical 8(14),15-pimaradiene double bond patterns [6]); MS m/z (rel. int.): 388 [M] ⁺ (18), 373 [M - 15] ⁺ (4), 328 [M - 60] ⁺ (14), 268 [M - 60 - 60] ⁺ (20), 255 (10), 253 (12), 148 (13), 133 (100), 121 (22), 119 (64), 109 (12), 107 (24).

Ent-8(14),15-pimaradiene-3 β ,18-diol (2a). Colourless crystals (Me₂CO), mp 178-179°; [α]_D = -92° (CHCl₃; c 1.5); IR ν (MBr cm⁻¹: 3400-3300, 1080, 1045, 995, 915, 845; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.78, 0.90 and 0.98 (3H × 3 each, H-17, H-19 and H-20), 2.23 (1H, m, H-9), 3.36 and 3.64 (2H, AB, J = 11 Hz, H-18), 3.58 (1H, m, W_{1/2} = 18 Hz, H-3), 4.65-6.00 (4H, typical 8(14),15-pimaradieno double bonds patterns [6]); MS m/z (rel. int.): 304.2371 (calc. for C₂₀H₃₂O₂: 304.2402) [M] (16), 289 [M -15] (10), 286 [M-18] (26), 273 [M-31] (27), 271 [M -18-15] (22), 268 [M-18-18] (2), 255 [M-18-31] (13), 169 (10), 151 (27), 148 (29), 135 (39), 133 (49), 121 (100), 119 (47), 109 (57), 107 (58).

Ent-8-(14),15-pimaradiene-3 β ,18-diacetate (2b). Colourless crystals (MeOH), mp 68-69°; $[\alpha]_D = -102^\circ$ (CHCl₃; c 0.95); IR ν_{max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 1740, 1635, 1250, 1040, 995, 920, 865; ¹H NMR: δ 0.76, 0.83 and 0.95 (3H × 3 each, H-17, H-19 and H-20), 2.00 and 2.05 (3H × 2 each), 2.25 (1H, m, H-9), 3.75 (2H, s, H-18), ca 4.76 (1H, obscured, m (br), H-3), 4.70-5.95 (4H, typical 8(14),15-pimaradiene double bonds patterns); MS (rel. int.) m/z: 388 [M]⁺ (25), 373 [M-15]⁺ (10), 328 [M-60]⁺ (18), 268 [M-60 -60]⁺ (23), 255 (17), 253 (15), 148 (17), 133 (100), 121 (27), 119 (64), 109 (15), 107 (26).

Acknowledgements—We thank Prof. Juan Kavka and Lic. Federico H. Guidugli, LEA, University of San Luis, for the mass spectra, and Ing. José A. Ambrosetti, IADIZA, Mendoza, for plant collection and botanic assistance. This work was supported by a grant from CONICET.

REFERENCES

- Dominguez, X. A., Franco, K. and Cano, G. (1978) Rev. Latinoam. Quim. 9, 214.
- 2. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1978) Phytochemistry 18, 95.
- Bohlmann, F., Jakupovic, J., Robinson, H. and King, R. M. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 109.
- Bohlmann, F., Ahmed, M., Jakupovic, J., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 191.
- 5. Carman, R. M. (1963) Aust. J. Chem. 16, 1104.
- 6. Wenkert, E. and Beak, P. (1961) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 998.
- Conner, A. H. and Rowe, J. W. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 1777.
- Polonsky, J., Baskevitch, Z., Cagnoli-Bellavita, N., Ceccherelli, P., Buckwalter, B. and Wenkert, E. (1972) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 4369.
- Tosi, K., Seo, S., Shimaoka, A. and Tomita, Y. (1974) Tetrahedron Letters 4227.
- Delmond, B., Tavan, M., Valade, J., Petvand, M. and Barbe,
 B. (1981) Org. Magn. Reson. 17, 207.